

Intersections

Volume 2011 | Number 33

Article 6

2011

The New (con)Texts of Jewish-Christian Engagement

Karla R. Suomala

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/intersections>

Augustana Digital Commons Citation

Suomala, Karla R. (2011) "The New (con)Texts of Jewish-Christian Engagement," *Intersections*: Vol. 2011: No. 33, Article 6.
Available at: <http://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/intersections/vol2011/iss33/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Augustana Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Intersections by an authorized administrator of Augustana Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@augustana.edu.

KARLA R. SUOMALA

The New (con)Texts of Jewish-Christian Engagement

What are the new contexts of and issues that characterize Jewish-Christian engagement on campuses? Why do these matter to us? You might say, as I do, “I don’t think we have any Jewish students on campus,” or “Maybe there are just one or two Jewish students.” Does Jewish-Christian engagement matter to Lutherans, to Christians? I think it does. Christians and Jews have been each other’s “Other” for nearly two millennia, and our track record in that relationship, to say the least, is not very good. The United States in the late 20th and early 21st century suggests a new, radically different phase in this relationship, a “golden age” according to one Jewish scholar. That is not to say there aren’t issues, but relatively speaking, Jews and Christians have learned to live together and to thrive.¹ This “success story,” if you will, can serve as a model and a deep well of resources in how we engage the other “Others” that are forming significant portions of our society.

I want to look at four different contexts or arenas that highlight the contemporary relationship between Jews and Christians in our culture. We’ll look at campus populations, curricula, identity, and religious pluralism as areas in which Jewish and Christian students (and others) are living and learning together in ways profoundly different than their parents or grandparents did.

Not Your Parents’ Jewish-Christian Encounter

In some ways, college campuses themselves are a “new” context of Jewish-Christian engagement, historically speaking. The post-World War I climate was characterized by anti-Jewish policies and practices on campuses throughout the United States. Henry Ford’s publication of the anti-Semitic “Protocols of the Elders of Zion,”

a tract depicting Jews as engaged in an international conspiracy for world domination, contributed to an environment in which Jews were looked upon with suspicion (Tenenbaum 17). By 1924 Congress passed legislation curtailing the immigration of “racially inferior” people, including East European Jews, writes Shelly Tenenbaum in the introduction to her article, “The Vicissitudes of Tolerance: Jewish Faculty and Students at Clark University,” in which she traces the status of Jews—students, staff and faculty—on United States campuses throughout the 20th century.

“Does Jewish-Christian engagement matter to Lutherans, to Christians?”

Tenenbaum goes on to describe how many East Coast college presidents implemented exclusionary measures out of fear that increasing numbers of Jewish students would overwhelm their schools and threaten their institutions’ reputations. President A. Lawrence Lowell of Harvard, for example, advocated a quota system when the proportion of Jewish students at his school tripled from 7% in 1900 to 21.5% in 1922 (17). Similarly, Yale’s President James Rowland Angell supported a measure to limit the number of Jewish students when they grew from 2% in 1901–1902 to more than 13 percent of the class in 1925 (18). Once one school introduced quotas, a chain reaction emerged since “no one wanted to become a dumping ground for unwanted Jews” (18, quoting Oren 40). Some schools used character tests while others developed other exclusionary tactics such as requiring

KARLA R. SUOMALA is Associate Professor and Chair of Religion at Luther College, Decorah, IA.

students to send a photo along with information about religion and race to identify and reject Jewish applicants. According to Tenenbaum, the schools that implemented the quotas were successful in reducing the numbers of Jewish students significantly in a relatively short period of time.

This discriminatory trend started to change after World War II due to a number of different factors, including, according to one historian, a new spirit of inclusion connected to the post war ethos (Tenenbaum citing Synnott 201). Perhaps more practically, student enrollment on United States campuses doubled between 1938-1948, creating the need for more faculty in nearly every area of study. Universities could no longer afford to discriminate against Jews—they desperately needed trained faculty, including Jews (Tenenbaum 21). With all of this, the system of quotas for students also began to fall. In addition, “the dismantling of the Jim Crow laws of legal segregation in the 50s and 60s further supported these trends so that by the time of the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ... anti-Semitic quotas had all but disappeared in the [academic world]” (Rathner and Goldstein).

Today there are about 250,000 Jewish undergraduates on American college campuses, according to the 2000-2001 National Jewish Population Survey (Fishkoff). While the Jewish population represents about 2% of the national population, Jewish students make up about 5% of the population on United States campuses. While there are a number of schools, both public and private, that boast high numbers of Jewish students, such as Brandeis, NYU, and Columbia, Jewish students attend a wide variety of schools throughout the country. According to Jeff Rubin, a spokesman for Hillel International, the past decade has seen a rise in the number of Jewish students applying to private schools “that haven’t historically been magnets” (Passman). In a recent article that explores the college choices of Jewish students in the *Jewish Exponent*, Rubin pointed to Muhlenberg College as one of the schools with a growing Jewish population.

Patti Mittleman, the Hillel director and Muhlenberg’s Jewish chaplain, came to the college in 1988, when her husband was appointed the first professor in the school’s new Jewish studies program. At that time, she said, “There were no Jews—or very few Jews” (Passman). Today, there are about 750 Jewish students at Muhlenberg, or about 35% of their students. In 2009, Muhlenberg was fifth in the *Reform Judaism Magazine* rankings of schools with the highest percentages of Jewish students, up from tenth place in 2007. What attracts Jewish students to a place like Muhlenberg? Initially unsure about the school because it was historically a Lutheran institution, Muhlenberg senior Susan Medalie said that she “was hooked” when she visited the campus and found out how many Jewish students there were (Passman). The Jewish community is not limited to the campus;

the Lehigh Valley boasts a vibrant, active Jewish community as well. Mittleman also suggests that Muhlenberg is particularly attractive to families who have spent lots of time and money sending their kids to Jewish day schools or private schools and are looking for a smaller school with low student-teacher ratios.

Muhlenberg’s Jewish population has grown so much over the past decade that Hillel recently began an expansion project, increasing the size of the current house, which opened in 2001, from 7,000 to 20,000 square feet. Friday night Shabbat dinners regularly draw as many as 300 students, with about 50 students attending liberal and traditional services. In addition to Hillel, Muhlenberg also has a Jewish studies minor, and hosts the Institute of Jewish-Christian Understanding. This coming fall, upon completion of renovations to the campus dining facility, students will have the option of glatt-kosher dining in the student cafeteria. Mittleman estimates that about one-third of the Jewish students keep kosher.

Muhlenberg is not the only ELCA college with a Hillel center. Students at Gettysburg College, Wagner College, Augustana College (Rock Island), and Susquehanna College also have Hillel programs or houses on their campuses. Wagner Hillel which began in 2003 now has over 100 Jewish students who regularly participate in activities. A number of other colleges with smaller Jewish populations offer support and programming through their campus ministry offices. Wittenberg University, and St. Olaf, for example, have Jewish student clubs or groups. These schools are more the exception than the rule, however. Most of the ELCA colleges and universities have very few, if any, Jewish students. Luther, for example, hasn’t had more than a handful of self-identified Jewish students on campus at any given time during the nine years that I have been on faculty.

Judaism on the Books

While there are Jewish students on an increasingly diverse number of campuses throughout the country, the overall Jewish population is still small. What is of interest in this regard is the explosion of Jewish studies programs as well as course offerings in Jewish thought, life, culture, social science, history, and religion at American colleges and universities. The growth of Jewish studies in the United States dates back to the 1970s, a time in which groups including women, ethnic minorities, and gays and lesbians demanded programming and curricular changes to reflect their presence on campuses and in society, as well as their contributions to history (Hsu). While it is hard to come by current data on the numbers of Jewish Studies programs in the United States, the Association for Jewish Studies reports that when it was established in 1969 as a society for “individuals whose full-time vocation is teaching, research, or related endeavor

ors in academic Jewish Studies,” it had 35 members (“Association for Jewish Studies”). Today the AJS has more than 1,500 members from a variety of fields across the United States and Canada.

Interestingly, much of the growth in this area has been driven by the interest of non-Jewish students. Professors who teach Jewish studies courses report that many, and sometimes most, of the students in their classes are not Jewish. “What was once considered a course of study almost exclusively for Jews

“What is of interest in this regard is the explosion of Jewish studies programs as well as course offerings in Jewish thought, life, culture, social science, history, and religion at American colleges and universities.”

has, in the last 40 years,” reports Sean Roach in a piece on the expansion of Jewish studies, “evolved into a diverse and multifaceted educational discipline” (Roach). There are at least two consequences of the tremendous growth that Jewish studies has witnessed: (1) More and more Jewish students are learning about their religious and cultural heritage in an academic setting rather than through more traditional venues such as the home, synagogue, or Jewish religious education programming; and (2) More and more Christians (and others) are being exposed to Jewish life, thought, culture, and religion than ever before since much of the growth in these courses has been driven by non-Jewish student enrollment. “My classes,” notes Umansky of Fairfield University, “are really a mixture of students...but most of them are Christian. We close our classes at 30 and I [had] four Jewish students this year. That is the most I’ve ever had. Sometimes I have none, or just one” (Roach).

This growth in Jewish studies course offerings has impacted Lutheran higher education as well. In a survey of the most recent course catalogs at the 26 ELCA colleges and universities, 17 offer at least one stand alone course in Judaism—a course focusing on some aspect of contemporary Jewish life, thought, culture, or practice. Muhlenberg offers a Jewish studies minor, and Gettysburg College and Wittenberg University each offer at least four stand alone courses in Judaism. Another three ELCA colleges integrate Judaism into a Western traditions or monotheism course, and six have no offerings in which Judaism figures significantly. These statistics do not include courses in Bible or Christian Theology or History, even though these subjects

may touch on aspects of Jewish thought or religion. Many of these courses have found their way into course catalogs at these institutions in the last 20-30 years, roughly coinciding with the beginning of the Jewish studies movement in the 1970s.

Much like the national picture of Jewish studies, most of the students who take courses in Judaism at ELCA colleges and universities are not Jewish. At Luther College, I offer an “Introduction to Judaism” course every year, and it always has at least 25 students, in part because students can fulfill their second religion course requirement by enrolling in it. Even so, it has been and continues to be a very popular religion course. Over the 9 years that I’ve taught the course, I have had about 3 Jewish students, and another 3-4 Christian students who were considering conversion to Judaism. The motivations of my students for taking the course are diverse. Many say they want to study Judaism as a way to learn more about the roots of their Christian faith traditions. Some have had Jewish friends or family members, while others register for the course because they don’t know anything about Judaism and are curious.

Some of the challenges that I face include introducing students to Judaism in the nearly complete absence of Jews, either at Luther or in the local community. A caveat to this is that there is a significant Chasidic Jewish community down the road in Postville, IA, but this is not a Jewish population that is necessarily open or accessible to us due to the traditional nature of their observance. In addition, many of my students have never met a Jewish person or have had any exposure to Judaism. In doing adult forums on Jewish-Christian engagement at local churches over the past decade, I have found that many of those who are over 65 years of age remember having at least one Jewish family in their small town, and talk about attending school with or befriending a Jewish person of their own age. This is almost never the case for students who arrive at Luther from these same small towns today, and reflects the movement of Jews out of rural areas into more urban settings with larger Jewish populations.

Another issue that I wonder about for my institution as well as other Lutheran or Christian-affiliated schools that offer one or two courses that focus on Judaism is the function of these courses in the larger religion and liberal arts curriculum. My concern is that these courses can, for Christians, serve a utilitarian function in ways that study of other religious traditions cannot. What I mean to say is that part of the reason for the appearance of Jewish studies courses at Lutheran colleges (among others) is that as interest in historical Jesus studies grew, and it became acceptable, even popular, to consider the Jewishness of Jesus, it became acceptable, and even popular to include a course in Judaism in departments of religion. Courses in Judaism came to serve, perhaps not intentionally, as courses

in which Christian students could learn more about the Jewish roots of their faith. This may not be a bad thing, but Judaism-as-background rather than Judaism for its own sake and for the sake of its adherents can send the wrong message to our students. Students can easily miss the idea that Judaism is not Christianity, and that Judaism is a living, breathing tradition on its own.

Jewish Students Today are Being Jewish Differently

Substantial numbers of young Jewish adults are being Jewish in ways that are quite different from their predecessors. In the many studies and analyses of Jewish young people that are flowing out of the American Jewish community in their efforts to understand and reach out to 21st century Jews, the Jewish Millennial on campus, especially the non-Orthodox Millennial, might have the following profile. She is a student who is not particularly interested in Jewish institutions or denominational labels, although she might identify more with Reform Judaism, if pressed. In fact, this student probably sees Judaism as a cultural rather than religious identity (Birkner, “Generation Y”). According to Cindy Greenberg, director of NYU’s Edgar M. Bronfman Center for Jewish Student Life, “Many of these students feel passionate about being Jewish but aren’t necessarily religious,” rather they see their Jewishness as grounds for service, and “[Jewish-led social action] allows them to express themselves Jewishly...” (“Generation Y”). Students “want to be participate in social action projects that don’t speak only to the Jewish community but to the community at large, and projects that the whole campus population, not just Jewish students, can take part in it,” said Danny Greene, a recent graduate of Stanford where he was a Jewish student leader (“Generation Y”).

“Students can easily miss the idea that Judaism is not Christianity, and that Judaism is a living, breathing tradition on its own.”

With these sensibilities, today’s Jewish student is likely to be more comfortable with non-Jews and much less likely to have mostly Jewish friends than are Jews over 40 years old. College-age Millennials also tend to have non-Jewish boyfriends and girlfriends, marking a dramatic change from past generations. She is more comfortable sharing Jewish events such as holidays

and life cycle rituals and space with non-Jews than her parents or grandparents. In addition she is far less likely than her parents to define her Jewish identity in reaction to anti-Semitism or by the Holocaust. Interestingly, she is also far more likely to acknowledge her Jewishness (Birkner, “Trends 101”). “It’s much more common to see college students wearing yarmulkes, and outwardly displaying other Jewish symbols,” says Jewish-American historian Jonathan Sarna. “Like other cultural groups, there’s been a coming out” (“Trends 101”). This openness may be due to the fact that for one of the first times in history, this young Jewish person can now decide for herself how she wants to practice her Jewish identity and traditions or even if she wants to be Jewish at all. This ‘dim-sum’ Jewishness, as former *Heeb Magazine* editor Jenn Bleyer has called it, signals a radical discontinuity between traditional and contemporary ways of being Jewish (Shmookler).

Finally, this student is increasingly likely to have one Jewish and one non-Jewish parent—already in 2001, 52% of young Jews between the ages of 18-24 came from intermarried families (Beck). The young adult who grows up in an interfaith family is even less connected to the religious and ethnic dimensions of her Jewish identity than her counterparts with two Jewish parents. She does, however, view her “Jewishness” positively and enjoys activities she considers Jewish, especially holidays (Beck). According to Lynn Davidman of Brown University, “Up until very recently Jews did not really intermarry, except in tiny numbers, so I think we’re at an unprecedented time in Jewish history. People who are born of one Jewish parent are one example of an increasing phenomenon in United States society, which is that people are born with more than one kind of identity” (Lukas).

Over the past few years, some Jewish children from intermarried families have begun to refer to themselves as “Half-Jews,” a term that is not without controversy in the Jewish community. While the Jewish religious denominations have varying views of what makes someone Jewish—the Conservative and Orthodox streams count as Jews only those with Jewish mothers, whereas the Reform and Reconstructionist movements sanction Jewish lineage from either side—the denominations are united in their opposition to the notion of one being “half-Jewish.” But “many children of intermarriage say they simply cannot turn their backs on the non-Jewish half of their identity. Their rabbis may say they are Jewish, but in their hearts they are also whatever grandma and grandpa are,” reports Leah Blankenship in *The Wisconsin Jewish Chronicle*: “This openness to multiple identities is particularly true among college students, according to Daniel Klein and Freke Vuijst, who interviewed hundreds of students for *The Half-Jewish Book* published in 2000. Klein says that those who consider themselves to be half-Jewish ‘feel they are a combination, they are an amalgam, they are bicultural’”

(Blankenship). Rabbi Alan Flam, former director of Brown's Hillel thinks that "this is a radically new question for the Jewish community. Students are talking less about theology and more about culture. They are saying, 'Wait, I have a dual identity,' similar to students who may have one parent who is Asian and one who is black. They are saying, 'I want to figure out a way to affirm both identities in my life'" (Lukas).

"Some Jewish children from intermarried families have begun to refer to themselves as 'Half-Jews,' a term that is not without controversy."

There is a web-based organization called the Half-Jewish Network which provides information, resources, and online forum on issues that affect people that describe themselves as half-Jewish. In a recent post, a young woman provides an eloquent response to the question, "What do you answer when asked 'Are you Jewish?'"

I ponder this question a lot—the short answer is that it depends on the context. My father is Jewish, Jewish-identified, etc., and I spent a lot of time growing up with my (father's) Jewish family. I was basically "born-again" as a Christian when I was young, due to the influence of my mother's Pentecostal, and have no interest in converting to Judaism.

It's probably accurate to say I "look Jewish"—at least more Jewish than not (I get a lot of questions about my "exotic" ethnicity), but on the other hand, my last name (which is both my parents' names, hyphenated) is kinda ambiguous. In other words, it's not Goldstein.

There is too much baggage around Jewish identity to simply say I am "Jewish" when I am not generally recognized as such by Jews. (Although in social practice, I am kinda casually semi-accepted.) Plus, I can never answer all the questions folks who haven't been exposed to Jews want to ask me about "my people."

At the same time, I don't like saying "Well, my father is," or "half my family is," because in so many other contexts that sounds like one is trying to distance oneself from Jewishness, which I emphatically do not desire to do.

"I am of Jewish descent" sounds similarly cold and distancing, if closer to accurate. I am proud to be of Jewish

descent, but I almost, at times, don't feel like I "deserve" to be proud. On the other hand, I am probably most vocal when people break out the anti-Semitism. I am under no illusions that the Nazis and others did/do not make a distinction when it comes to me, my family, et al. On the third hand—ha!—I am probably less sensitive to less-overt anti-Semitism both because I am less Jewish-identified than some folks and because I grew up in a very liberal area that was about 20% Jewish, so, at least when I was a child, it was easy to pretend/imagine that anti-Semitism was largely a non-issue except for "extreme" things that "happened elsewhere" or "in the past."

I don't know. It's one of those crazy things where the greater society defines you one way, and the group itself may see you as something completely different. I mean, a dark-haired, "Semitic-looking" "Sheva Rabinowitz" could be a non-Jew, and a blonde, blue-eyed "Bridget Olafssen" could be a Jew—and they're probably cousins. ("Half-Jewish Network")

Religious Pluralism

Formal Jewish-Christian dialogue, as an endeavor and an arena, now can look back at significant achievements since WWII, especially in the United States. Much of the energy and initiative for this dialogue has derived from clergy, academics, and officials within religious institutions who have engaged in a serious re-evaluation of the Jewish-Christian relationship from the early centuries of Christianity to the present. In the course of this process, a host of new resources have been produced, including new theological and biblical resources used to train clergy and for use by clergy, i.e. commentaries and homiletical resources, curricular resources for use in Sunday schools and confirmation programs, liturgical formations for use in worship, the development of guidelines for interaction with and speaking about Jews and Judaism, and finally, statements by ecclesial bodies and other independent organizations dedicated to deepening the Jewish-Christian relationship that acknowledge the tragedies of the past, and set forth a new vision of the future. While there is still strong interest in some sectors regarding the Jewish-Christian dialogue, much of that initial energy and participation has waned in the last decade or so, and since the college campus was never the primary venue for this dialogue, a new generation of participants hasn't been cultivated. *This does not mean, however, that students are not interested in interfaith issues.*

Right now, college and university campuses are witnessing a growing interest in engaging religious pluralism in ways that are in fact new and promising. Especially since 9/11, religious

conversation and recognition of religious plurality as a legitimate type of diversity are now generating significant interest and involvement on campuses, both private and public. In this developing scenario, the dynamics of interfaith engagement are shifting away from some of the more traditional texts and issues that characterized the stand-alone relationships, i.e. Jewish-Christian and others, to a more action- or service-oriented engagement in which students of all faith traditions (or none at all) are coming together to work toward common goals. The process in some ways reverses that of the stand-alone dialogue in which participants claim one particular tradition, i.e., Lutheran Christian or Reform Jew, are knowledgeable about their tradition, and have a specific interest or objective in engaging the other. Today, on campuses, students who want to be involved interreligiously are coming together without the assumption of any previous knowledge about their own tradition or the tradition of the “other,” and in the course of working toward a community objective they learn more about themselves and the traditions of others.

“The dynamics of interfaith engagement are shifting away from some of the more traditional texts and issues.”

In the opening pages of his recent book, *Acts of Faith: The Story of an American Muslim, the Struggle for the Soul of a Generation*, Interfaith Youth Core (IFYC) founder Eboo Patel contrasts this view of pluralism with what he sees as older models of interfaith engagement that don’t seem to reflect today’s realities and needs. “Interfaith cooperation,” he writes, “is too often a conference of senior religious leaders talking.” He then continues:

No doubt these leaders play a crucial role in religious bridge building. They have broken important theological ground, articulated frameworks for religious understanding, and sent the signal that cooperation with the religious Other is not only possible but necessary. Yet few in my generation have been involved. (xvii)

In this statement Patel voices appreciation for older models of cooperation that include dialogue, but suggests that these models have had their day, and that the challenges that younger generations face are different, more pressing, and perhaps more complicated. “I went to my first interfaith conference when I was twenty-one,” notes Patel, “and discovered that I was the youngest

person there by some thirty years.” The pattern didn’t change, regardless of which conference he attended, and he came to the realization that “the faces of religious fanatics were young; the faces of interfaith cooperation were old” and that “something

“At Lutheran colleges, we have the opportunity to be more deliberate, to go deeper and to really grapple with difference, where the uniqueness and power of each tradition can often be located.”

had to change” (xviii). As Patel tells the story of how he came to the mission of IFYC, he focuses on developing the framework in which the world is divided between religious pluralists and totalitarians, between being able to make a life together and violence.

The Interfaith Youth Core, an organization that is becoming increasingly popular on campuses around the United States, both captures the changing realities of interfaith engagement, and outlines a vision for students living in a pluralistic world in their definition of religious pluralism as “a state in which we respect one another’s religious identity, develop mutually enriching relationships with each other and work together to make this world a better place.” While Patel’s definition of religious pluralism is only one among many that are in circulation, and he focuses more on youth, as well as the service component in his vision of pluralism, his definition is in large part derived from that of Diana Eck at the Harvard Pluralism Project whose definition of pluralism comprises the gold-standard of the newly emerging field. At the core of her definition, Eck states that pluralism is “the energetic engagement with diversity, the active seeking of understanding across lines of difference, the encounter of [religious] commitments,” and that it “is based on dialogue” (Eck). While she uses the word dialogue, she doesn’t refer to its historical expression in the forms such as Jewish-Christian dialogue, but rather in the nature of dialogue as a give-and-take interaction between participants.

Conclusion

So often, engaging students across religious boundaries can result in uplifting the lowest common denominator, clichés such as, “We all believe basically the same thing anyway,” or “Our differences are unimportant, what matters are our similarities.” At Lutheran colleges, we have the opportunity to be more deliberate, to go deeper and to really grapple with difference,

where the uniqueness and power of each tradition can often be located. In the process of engaging students across in a variety of faith traditions, however, it is important to remember that each tradition has a particular history of its own, and that issues of identity and interfaith engagement pose unique challenges and opportunities to students who come from these traditions. The case of Jewish students on predominantly Christian campuses is a case in point.

End Notes

1. It is important to note that anti-Semitism has not disappeared on United States campuses, although it is generally not as systematic or blatant as it was in the past. Many scholars have actually noted an uptick in anti-Semitic incidents in the first decade of the 21st century and have expressed concern that these incidents are not being taken as seriously as they should be. Cf. Rathner and Goldstein.

Works Cited

- Association for Jewish Studies, website. Accessed July 2010 < <http://www.ajsnet.org/> >
- Beck, Pearl, Ron Miller, and Jacob B. Ukeles. *Young Jewish Adults in the United States Today*. American Jewish Committee (AJC), Sept. 2006. Accessed July 2010 < http://www.ajc.org/atf/cf/%7B42D75369-D582-4380-8395-D25925B85EAF%7D/YoungJewishAdultsUS_102006.pdf >
- Birkner, Gabrielle. "Generation Y Values Impact Jewish Students on Campus," *New York Community Media Alliance*, 10 Nov. 2005. Accessed July 2010 < http://www.indypressny.org/nycma/voices/194/briefs/briefs_4/ >
- . "Trends 101: The New Jewish Life on Campus." *Reform Judaism Magazine Online*, Fall 2006. Accessed July 2010 < <http://reformjudaismmag.org/Articles/index.cfm?id=1167> >
- Blankenship, Leah. "'Half-Jewish'? Children of Inter-marriage Grapple with Their Identities." *The Wisconsin Jewish Chronicle*, 7 Sept. 2007. Accessed July 2010 < http://www.jewishchronicle.org/article.php?article_id=6289 >
- Eck, Diana. The Pluralism Project at Harvard University. Accessed July 2010 < <http://pluralism.org/> >
- Fishkoff, Sue. "What Do Students Want? On College Campuses Across U.S. Jewish Freshmen Seek Community." *Jewish Telegraphic Agency*, 10 Nov. 2005. Accessed July 2010 < <http://archive.jta.org/article/2005/11/11/2929160/what-do-students-want-on-college-campuses-across-us-jewish-freshmen-seek-community> >
- "Half-Jewish Network Message Board." Post by Michelle, 20 June 2009. Accessed July 2010 < <http://pub2.bravenet.com/forum/static/show.php?usernum=132853333&frmid=46&msgid=790814&cmd=show> >
- Hsu, Charlotte. "Defining Role of Jewish Studies Scholar." *UB Reporter*, 8 July 2010. Accessed July 2010 < http://www.buffalo.edu/ubreporter/2010_07_07/ubts_hughes >
- Lukas, Michael. "Divisible Jewishness." *New Voices: National Jewish Student Magazine*, 17 Apr. 2002. Accessed July 2010 < <http://www.newvoices.org/campus?id=0066> >
- Oren, Dan A. *Joining the Club: A History of Jews and Yale*. New Haven: Yale UP, 1985.
- Passman, Aaron. "Students Get Comfy With Heritage at School." *Jewish Exponent*, May 6, 2010. Accessed July 2010 < <http://www.jewishexponent.com/article/21113/> >
- Patel, Eboo. *Acts of Faith: The Story of an American Muslim, the Struggle for the Soul of a Generation*. Boston: Beacon, 2007.
- Rathner, Janet Lubman and Alison Goldstein. "Campus Anti-Semitism: The Issues Have Changed, the Problem Has Not." In an interview with S. Tenenbaum, *B'nai B'rith Magazine*, Summer 2008. Accessed July 2010 < <http://www.bnaibrith.org/magazines/2008SummerBBM/campusAnti-Semitism.cfm> >
- Roach, Sean. "Jewish Studies: Not Just for Jews Anymore." *Jew-ish.com Seattle*, 8 Jan. 2008. Accessed July 2010 < <http://www.jew-ish.com/index.php?stories/item/1212#> >
- Shmookler, Max. "Jews Front and Center? Scrambling for Jewish Identity in the Mainstream." *New Voices: National Jewish Student Magazine*, 14 Dec. 2005. Accessed July 2010 < <http://www.newvoices.org/opinion?id=0044> >
- Synnott, Marcia Graham. *The Half-Opened Door: Discrimination and Admissions at Harvard, Yale, and Princeton, 1900-1970*. Westport, CT: Greenwood, 1979.
- Tenenbaum, Shelly. "The Vicissitudes of Tolerance: Jewish Faculty and Students at Clark University." *Massachusetts Historical Review* 5 (2003): 7-27.